

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

FENDI S.R.L.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE PARTNERSHIPS and  
UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS  
IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE "A",

Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-05629

District Judge John F. Kness

Magistrate Judge Beth W. Jantz

**DECLARATION OF JOHN HAARLOW, JR.**

I, John Haarlow, Jr., declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before the Courts of the State of Illinois and the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. I am one of the attorneys for Plaintiff Fendi S.R.L. ("Fendi"). Except as otherwise stated to the contrary, I have personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify as follows:

2. It is my understanding that offshore e-commerce store operators offering for sale products using counterfeit trademarks typically: (1) provide false, misleading and/or incomplete names and physical address information to conceal their locations and avoid liability for their unlawful conduct; and (2) rely primarily on electronic communications to communicate with their third-party service providers and customers.

3. In most instances, e-commerce store operators such as Defendants must provide an e-mail address and physical address to third-party online marketplace platforms such as eBay, AliExpress, Alibaba, Amazon, Wish.com, and DHgate when registering their account. However,

unlike an e-mail address, which is typically verified by the third-party online marketplace platforms, no verification typically occurs for physical addresses. Since an e-commerce store operator can input any physical address, such addresses are usually false and/or are not where the e-commerce store operator is located. As such, even if a physical address is available, it is not a reliable means for identifying and locating Defendants.

4. I have reviewed the Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extra-Judicial Documents in Civil and Commercial Matters (“Hague Convention”) to which China is a signatory. The Hague Convention does not preclude service by e-mail. Additionally, according to Article 1 of the Hague Convention, the “convention shall not apply where the address of the person to be served with the document is not known.” A true and correct copy of the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extra-Judicial Documents in Civil and Commercial Matters, and a list of signatory members, are collectively attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

5. **Exhibit 2** attached hereto is a true and correct copy of the unpublished decisions cited in Fendi’s Memorandum in Support of the Motion for Electronic Service of Process Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(3).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed July 18, 2024.

/s/ John Haarlow, Jr.

John Haarlow, Jr.

Counsel for Plaintiff Fendi S.R.L.